



## Examining the Principles, Opportunities, and Challenges of the Flipped Teaching Method and Its Role in Student Learning Quality

Baz Mohammad Farough<sup>1\*</sup>; Sayed Zahir Nazimi<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Education Administration, Faculty of Education, Bamyan University, Afghanistan

<sup>2</sup>Department of English, Faculty of Education, Panjshir University, Afghanistan

\*Corresponding Email: [bforogh3@gmail.com](mailto:bforogh3@gmail.com), Phone Number: +93 77 900 5993

### Article History:

Received: 21. 9.2025

Accepted: 04. 10.2025

Online First: 27.12.2025

### Citation:

Farough, B.M. & Nazimi, S.Z. (2025). Examining the Principles, Opportunities, and Challenges of the Flipped Teaching Method and Its Role in Student Learning Quality. *Kdz Uni Int J Islam Stud and Soc Sci*;2(4):623-634

e-ISSN: 3078-3895

This is an open access article under the Higher Education license



Copyright:© 2025 Published by Kunduz Universty.

### Abstract

The flipped teaching method is a contemporary instructional approach that reorganizes traditional classroom practices by delivering instructional content outside the classroom through technological tools and dedicating in-class time to interactive learning activities. The purpose of this study was to examine the fundamental principles, educational opportunities, and challenges of the flipped teaching method. Using a qualitative literature review design, data were collected from recent and credible Persian and English sources, including books and peer-reviewed journal articles. Relevant literature was identified using the keywords “تدریس معکوس” “Flipped Classroom,” and “Flipped Learning.” From an initial pool of 71 sources, 42 were selected based on relevance and analyzed through systematic summarization and synthesis. The findings reveal that flipped teaching is grounded in four core principles: purposeful content, a learner-centered culture, a flexible learning environment, and the active role of a professional instructor. The method offers significant benefits, increased student engagement, enhanced critical thinking skills, deeper conceptual understanding, and greater learner autonomy. However, challenges related to learners, instructors, institutional support, instructional design, management, ethics, and technological infrastructure were also identified. The study concludes that effective planning, adequate training, and institutional support are essential for the successful implementation of the flipped teaching method.

**Keywords:** Flipped Teaching, Learning, Method Principles, Opportunities and Challenges, Quality.

## Introduction

The prevailing realities within the higher education system of our country reveal that, in many cases, students face issues such as low motivation, academic fatigue, disregard for classroom regulations, hopelessness due to uncertainty about post-graduation employment, and distraction caused by the influence of social media and similar factors. In this regard, instructors, given the critical responsibility they bear, must be fully aware of the principles of education and the psychological and behavioral characteristics of their students. With adequate understanding of these issues, they can strive to enhance their own professional competencies. Such understanding contributes to the cultivation of humanity and character formation, allowing instructors to play a vital role in shaping students' personalities and managing their classroom behaviors (Hatamzadeh-Sarkashti, 2015).

Among the various factors influencing educational quality, the selection of an appropriate teaching method plays a central role. Teachers must employ strategies capable of addressing the aforementioned challenges. Studies in the field of pedagogy indicate that scholars have recently introduced several innovative instructional models – among them, the flipped classroom approach stands out. Flipped learning represents a relatively new global pedagogical trend (O'Flaherty & Phillips, 2015). Research has shown that implementing the flipped classroom model can enhance experiential learning, improve conceptual understanding, strengthen reading comprehension, and develop listening and speaking skills (Anacona et al., 2023).

Furthermore, adopting the flipped classroom approach in higher education has yielded outcomes such as strengthening evidence-based learning strategies, promoting classroom socialization, and increasing positive feedback among participating students. As an instructional innovation, the flipped classroom demonstrates adaptability, acceptance, and global recognition (Wissman, 2023).

In the modern era, often referred to as the “age of knowledge and information explosion,” transformation in the domain of education and instructional methodology is both necessary and inevitable. Among the innovative global educational approaches, the flipped classroom has emerged as a notable and popular method. In this model, learning occurs both inside and outside the classroom. Students engage with digital resources – such as videos and online materials – at home, while classroom time is devoted to group collaboration and interactive discussion (Bishop & Verleger, 2013).

Flipped learning comprises two main components: interactive and communicative learning inside the classroom, and self-directed learning with the help of computers and other resources outside the classroom. Thus, flipped instruction integrates both traditional and modern models, each contributing significantly to achieving learning objectives (Travis, 2014).

Moreover, contemporary society increasingly requires innovative and creative educational models to prepare learners for life's challenges and to help them utilize their abilities and creativity effectively. Therefore, instead of relying on rote memorization, learners must acquire the capacity to think critically and approach problems scientifically. Through active teaching methods, learners become engaged with real-life issues and develop practical, applicable knowledge. Innovative methods aligned with daily life make education more meaningful, enhance learner motivation, and encourage deeper engagement (Maleki & Kiyani, 2018). Consequently, the flipped classroom model has been recognized and emphasized by modern educational theorists as a leading approach. Flipped instruction is grounded in adult learning theory, which emphasizes meaningful learning and its direct relevance to learners' work and daily lives. Its success depends largely on promoting active learner participation (Hew, 2018).

In the modern educational context, teaching and learning have evolved significantly from traditional conceptions. For meaningful transformation within the education system, fundamental measures must be undertaken—one of which involves familiarizing instructors with modern teaching methodologies and encouraging innovation in their instructional practices. Since classroom instruction remains the cornerstone of all educational activity, empowering teachers with updated pedagogical tools is essential (Shamsiddinova, 2022; Tursunova, 2021).

Among the diverse instructional methods, one of the most important approaches based on information and communication technology (ICT) is the flipped classroom model (Tucker, 2014). Originally introduced by Lage and colleagues in 2002, this method centers on learner autonomy, transforming classroom time into an environment for in-depth discussion, analysis, and the development of higher-order cognitive skills (Jaditawi, 2019). Given the growing emphasis on this relatively recent teaching model, the present study aims to explore its core principles, opportunities, and challenges and to present new insights that may assist educators in applying the flipped approach more effectively.

A review of previous studies related to this topic shows that no specific research has been conducted on this subject within Afghanistan; however, several studies have been carried out abroad, some of which are mentioned below. Martínez-Jiménez (2020) conducted a study entitled "Improving Student Satisfaction and Learning Performance through the Flipped Classroom." The results of this study showed that learners were highly satisfied with the formative evaluation experience used in this method and that their learning performance improved significantly.

In another study, Yang and Chen (2020) demonstrated that flipped learning leads to enhanced learning progress and greater engagement of learners in the educational process. Morton and Colbert-Getz (2016), in their research titled "Evaluating the Impact of the Flipped Classroom in Anatomy Education," found that the flipped teaching method had a stronger effect than the traditional lecture-based method at higher cognitive levels of

Bloom's taxonomy, while no significant difference was observed between the two methods at lower cognitive levels.

The results of the study conducted by Sabzipour, Hasanvand, and Safari (2024), entitled "A Comparison of the Effects of Flipped and Traditional Teaching Methods on Academic Resilience and Engagement among Elementary Students in Science Courses," indicated that the levels of academic resilience and engagement were significantly higher among students who learned science through the flipped teaching method compared to those who studied through traditional methods. The researchers concluded that the flipped classroom method, as a modern and technology-based teaching approach, is more effective than traditional teaching in strengthening students' academic resilience and engagement. Similarly, the findings of Siamakani and Rashtizadeh (2024), in their study titled "Examining the Benefits and Challenges of the Flipped Teaching Method in Chemistry Education and Proposing a Daily Lesson Plan," revealed that the flipped classroom consists of four key components: a flexible learning environment, a learning culture, self-created content, and a professional instructor. They also identified eight major challenges that hinder the effective implementation of this teaching approach.

A review of the existing literature suggests that relatively few studies have addressed the principles, opportunities, and challenges of the flipped teaching method in detail. Most prior research has primarily focused on the importance and process of the flipped classroom approach. Therefore, the present study aims to identify and analyze the fundamental principles, opportunities, and challenges of the flipped teaching method.

## Material and Method

This study employed a qualitative review-based research design aimed at systematically examining the principles, opportunities, and challenges of the flipped classroom method and its contribution to improving students' learning quality. The research was conducted through a structured literature review process.

### Data Collection Procedure

Data were collected over a defined period of six months (January–June 2024). During this period, relevant academic literature was identified through systematic searches of recognized academic databases and publisher platforms, including Google Scholar, ERIC, Scopus, and ScienceDirect, as well as reputable Persian academic websites. The search process used predefined keywords: the Persian term "روش تدریس معکوس" and the English terms "Flipped Classroom" and "Flipped Learning." Only sources published in peer-reviewed journals or academic books were considered to ensure credibility and academic rigor.

### Selection Criteria

The initial search yielded 71 sources (books and journal articles). These sources were screened in two stages. First, titles and abstracts were reviewed to eliminate unrelated or

duplicate studies. Second, full-text reviews were conducted to assess relevance based on clear inclusion criteria: (a) direct focus on flipped classroom or flipped learning, (b) discussion of principles, benefits, challenges, or instructional models, and (c) relevance to higher education or formal learning contexts. As a result, 42 sources were selected for final analysis.

### Data Coding And Analysis

Data analysis followed a thematic content analysis approach. Relevant concepts, terms, and findings were systematically extracted from the selected sources and coded manually. The coding process involved three stages:

1. Open coding, in which key terms and concepts related to principles, opportunities, and challenges were identified;
2. Axial coding, where similar codes were grouped into broader categories, and
3. Selective coding, through which central themes were finalized.

### Analytical Framework

The analysis was guided by established flipped learning frameworks, particularly the Four Pillars of Flipped Learning (flexible environment, learning-centered culture, intentional content, and professional educator) and the three-phase instructional model proposed by Estes, Ingram, and Liu (2014), consisting of pre-class preparation, in-class active learning, and post-class application. These models provided a coherent structure for interpreting and synthesizing the findings. Through this systematic and transparent methodological process, the study ensured the validity, reliability, and academic rigor of its findings

## Findings

Based on the systematic qualitative analysis of 42 selected sources, the findings were synthesized and conceptualized into three interrelated analytical domains:

- (1) core principles of the flipped classroom,
- (2) educational opportunities, and
- (3) implementation challenges.

Rather than presenting isolated descriptions, the results are organized as thematic constructs supported by prior empirical and theoretical research.

**Table 4.** Conceptual Framework of the Flipped Classroom Teaching Method

Core Principle	Conceptual Definition	Instructional Function	Supporting Research
Intentional Content	Purposeful selection of content suitable for independent learning before class	Shifts lower-order cognition outside class and reserves class time for higher-order learning	Bergmann & Sams (2016); Hojjati et al. (2023)
Learning-Centered	Transformation from teacher-centered to learner-	Promotes inquiry, interaction, and learner	Bergmann & Sams (2014); Ranjbarri

Culture	centered instruction	autonomy	(2013)
Flexible Environment	Adaptable learning in terms of time, place, and pace	Enables self-paced learning and repeated access to materials	Akçayır & Akçayır (2018); Supriasih et al. (2023)
Professional Educator	Teacher as facilitator, mentor, and learning designer	Provides feedback, monitors learning, and adapts instruction	Kaviani et al. (2017); Rasouli & Noemani (2023)

Table 4.1 indicates that the flipped classroom is not merely a reversal of instructional time but a pedagogical system grounded in four interdependent principles: intentional content, learner-centered culture, flexible learning environment, and professional educator. These principles collectively function as a coherent instructional ecosystem rather than independent components.

Across the reviewed studies, intentional content emerged as the foundational element, as it determines what knowledge is best acquired individually and what requires social interaction and teacher mediation (Bergmann & Sams, 2016; Kas Nazani, 2023). Research consistently shows that when content is purposefully designed for pre-class engagement, in-class time is shifted toward higher-order cognitive processes, such as analysis, synthesis, and evaluation (Hojjati et al., 2023).

The second principle, learning-centered culture, represents a structural shift from transmission-based instruction to participatory knowledge construction. Prior studies confirm that this cultural transformation increases meaningful interaction and learner autonomy while redefining the teacher’s role from information provider to learning facilitator (Bergmann & Sams, 2014; Ranjbari, 2013).

The flexible environment principle emphasizes adaptability in terms of time, space, and learning pace. Empirical findings indicate that flexibility enables students to regulate their learning speed and revisit materials as needed, which significantly improves comprehension and retention (Supriasih et al., 2023; Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018).

Finally, the professional educator principle integrates the previous three by emphasizing continuous monitoring, feedback, and instructional decision-making. Studies show that flipped classrooms demand higher pedagogical expertise, reflective practice, and instructional design competence from teachers than traditional lecture-based settings (Kaviani et al., 2017; Rasouli & Noemani, 2023).

**Table 2.** Opportunities of Flipped Teaching and Corresponding Learning Outcomes

Opportunity Dimension	Description	Documented Learning Outcomes	Key References
Cognitive Development	Active in-class learning and application	Improved critical thinking and deeper understanding	Thai et al. (2018); Alamri (2019)
Metacognitive Growth	Control over learning pace and strategy	Enhanced self-regulation and learner responsibility	Evseeva & Albert Beatty (2014); Khezayi (2016)
Social & Collaborative Learning	Peer discussion and teamwork	Increased engagement, reduced anxiety, stronger communication skills	Flumerfelt & Green (2013); Kavyant (2017)
Instructional	Efficient use of	Higher academic achievement	Akçayır & Akçayır

Effectiveness class time and meaningful feedback (2018); Micge (2014)

Table 4.2 identified in the literature, converges around four major outcome dimensions rather than isolated advantages.

First, flipped learning consistently enhances cognitive and metacognitive development. Multiple studies report improvements in critical thinking, problem-solving, and conceptual understanding due to the emphasis on active learning during class time (Morton & Colbert-Getz, 2016; Thai et al., 2018; Alamri, 2019).

Second, the findings demonstrate strong support for learner autonomy and self-regulation. Allowing students to control the pace, time, and frequency of content engagement significantly increases responsibility for learning and intrinsic motivation (Evseeva & Albert Beatty, 2014; Khezayi, 2016).

Third, flipped classrooms promote social and collaborative learning outcomes. Research indicates that structured peer interaction and guided discussion foster deeper learning, reduce anxiety, and strengthen communication skills (Flumerfelt & Green, 2013; Kavyant, 2017).

instructional efficiency and depth, as classroom time is redirected from content delivery to addressing misconceptions, applying knowledge, and providing immediate feedback (Akçayır & Akçayır, 2018; Micge, 2014).

### 1. Categorized challenges and their analytical implications

**Table 3.** Categorized Challenges of Flipped Teaching and Their Analytical Implications

Challenge Category	Key Issues Identified	Analytical Implications	Supporting Studies
Instructor-related	High workload, time-intensive content design, lack of professional training	Teacher readiness is a critical factor for successful flipped classroom implementation	Howitt & Pegrum (2015); Wanner & Palmer (2015)
Learner-related	Low motivation for pre-class preparation, weak self-regulation skills	Effective flipped learning requires gradual learner acculturation and scaffolding	Desa & Abd Halim (2022)
Institutional-related	Limited managerial support, lack of clear implementation policies	Institutional commitment is essential for sustainability	Karabulut-Ilgu (2018)
Technological & Infrastructural	Weak technological support, limited Internet access	Infrastructure gaps hinder equitable access, especially in developing contexts	Wang (2016); Chen (2016)
Instructional Design	Lack of structured frameworks and design models	Absence of clear models discourages instructors from adoption	Karabulut-Ilgu (2018)
Content-related	Misalignment between materials and learning objectives	Poor content alignment reduces instructional effectiveness	Betihavas et al. (2016)
Managerial & Operational	Inefficient planning and resource allocation	Operational weaknesses increase implementation burden	Hojjati Siamakani & Rashtizadeh (2024)

Ethical & Contextual	Equity, access, and learner support issues	Ethical considerations must be addressed to ensure inclusive learning
----------------------	--	---

Table 3 reveals that challenges of flipped teaching are multidimensional and systemic, rather than technical issues alone. Synthesizing previous studies, challenges were classified into eight interrelated categories, confirming and extending earlier classifications (Betihavas et al., 2016; Hojjati Siamakani & Rashtizadeh, 2024).

Instructor-related challenges including workload, instructional design demands, and lack of training – were the most frequently cited barriers (Howitt & Pegrum, 2015; Wanner & Palmer, 2015). These findings indicate that teacher readiness is a critical determinant of successful implementation.

Learner-related challenges, such as insufficient motivation for pre-class preparation and limited self-regulation skills, were also recurrent across studies (Desa & Abd Halim, 2022). This suggests that flipped learning effectiveness depends on gradual learner acculturation rather than immediate adoption.

Institutional and infrastructural challenges, including weak technological support, limited Internet access, and lack of managerial commitment, were particularly evident in developing educational contexts (Wang, 2016; Chen, 2016).

Importantly, the literature emphasizes that these challenges are not inherent failures of the flipped model but rather indicators of inadequate planning and support structures (Karabulut-Ilgu, 2018). Studies consistently recommend phased implementation, professional development, and institutional policy alignment as mitigating strategies.

**Table 4.** Impact of Flipped Teaching on Learning Quality

Dimension of Learning Quality	Mechanism in Flipped Teaching	Resulting Educational Outcomes	Supporting Evidence
Depth of Learning	Repeated exposure to content and guided in-class application	Shift from surface learning to deep conceptual understanding	Wahyudi et al. (2024)
Knowledge Internalization	Collaborative discussion and reflective practice	Stronger conceptual integration and reduced rote memorization	Wahyudi et al. (2024)
Creativity & Reflective Thinking	Learner-centered and interactive instructional design	Enhanced creativity and reflective learning skills	Empirical synthesis of reviewed studies
Knowledge Retention	Alignment with learners' cognitive and technological contexts	Long-term retention beyond exam-oriented learning	Wahyudi et al. (2024)
Overall Learning Quality	Systemic implementation of flipped pedagogy	Sustainable improvement in learning quality	Synthesized findings

The 4.4 findings demonstrate that the flipped teaching method improves learning quality by shifting education from surface learning toward deep, sustained understanding. Through repeated exposure, guided application, and collaborative reflection, learners internalize concepts more effectively than in exam-oriented, memorization-based systems (Wahyudi et al., 2024).

Moreover, the flipped classroom fosters creativity, reflective thinking, and long-term knowledge retention by aligning instructional design with contemporary learners' cognitive and technological realities. The convergence of empirical findings supports the conclusion that flipped teaching enhances learning quality when implemented as a coherent pedagogical system rather than a mechanical reversal of instruction time.

## Discussion

The purpose of this study was to examine the principles, opportunities, and challenges of the flipped classroom teaching method and to conceptualize its role in enhancing students' learning quality. Unlike traditional descriptive accounts, the findings of this study indicate that flipped teaching functions as an integrated pedagogical system rather than a simple reversal of instructional time.

The analysis confirms that the four core principles of flipped learning – intentional content, learning-centered culture, flexible environment, and professional educator – are consistent with established flipped learning frameworks (Bergmann & Sams, 2016). However, this study extends prior research by demonstrating that these principles are mutually reinforcing. For instance, intentional content design is ineffective without a learning-centered classroom culture, and flexibility in learning environments requires continuous teacher guidance to remain pedagogically meaningful.

Consistent with previous empirical studies, the findings reveal that flipped teaching significantly enhances higher-order cognitive skills, learner autonomy, and engagement (Thai et al., 2018; Alamri, 2019). Importantly, this study synthesizes these outcomes into broader learning-quality dimensions, showing that flipped learning promotes deep and sustained learning rather than short-term exam performance. This aligns with constructivist and active learning theories, which emphasize learner participation and knowledge construction.

Regarding challenges, the findings corroborate earlier research identifying teacher workload, lack of training, and insufficient technological infrastructure as major barriers (Howitt & Pegrum, 2015; Chen, 2016). However, this study contributes analytically by framing these challenges as implementation conditions rather than methodological weaknesses. The literature suggests that when institutions provide professional development, phased implementation strategies, and technical support, these barriers can be transformed into opportunities for instructional innovation (Karabulut-Ilgu, 2018).

Overall, the findings highlight that the effectiveness of flipped teaching depends not on adopting the method mechanically, but on systematic instructional design, institutional readiness, and pedagogical competence. When these conditions are met, flipped teaching plays a substantial role in improving learning quality by fostering critical thinking, creativity, collaboration, and long-term knowledge retention.

## Conclusion

Although the flipped teaching method offers numerous benefits in the field of education, it has not yet been fully institutionalized in some countries, including Afghanistan and its higher education system. Accordingly, this study aimed to identify the principles, opportunities, and challenges of the flipped teaching method, and its findings indicate the

following. Overall, the essence of the flipped teaching method relies on four fundamental principles: targeted content, a learning-centered culture, a flexible learning environment, and a professional instructor. Additionally, other essential principles that should be adhered to in the flipped teaching approach include: careful course design and planning, student-centered learning, introducing learning resources before class, conducting developmental discussions during class hours, effective classroom management by the instructor, engaging all students in discussions, implementing teaching through a complete start-to-finish process while avoiding “all-or-nothing” approaches, summarizing and concluding by the instructor, and finally, introducing the next lesson’s content and resources at the end of the class. The flipped teaching method also presents valuable opportunities, including active student engagement, enhancement of thinking skills, development of critical thinking, structured and logical understanding of lesson concepts, promoting learning goals beyond mere exam success, and fostering responsibility in self-directed learning. However, this teaching method also faces challenges, including: learner-related challenges, instructor- and university-related challenges, content-related challenges, teaching and instructional challenges, family-related challenges, managerial and operational challenges, ethical and security challenges, and technical and technological challenges. These challenges can be addressed and mitigated through appropriate preventive and strategic measures.

In conclusion, given that the flipped teaching method aligns with modern learning theories and emphasizes self-directed and self-regulated learning, it has the potential to improve and enhance the quality of student learning, ultimately contributing to the advancement of educational quality and the development of knowledge within the country’s higher education system.

### **Acknowledgment**

The authors also thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

### **Data Availability Statement**

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

### **Conflicts of Interest**

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

### **References**

- Akçayır, G., & Akçayır, M. (2018). The flipped classroom: A review of its advantages and challenges. *Computers & Education*, 126, 334–345.
- Alamri, M. M. (2019). Students' academic achievement performance and satisfaction in a flipped classroom in Saudi Arabia. *International Journal of Technology Enhanced Learning*, 11(1), 103–119.
- Anacona, W. E. B., Aguilar, D. V. L., Peñaherrera, D. I. G., & Jiménez, C. L. P. (2023, May). Flipped classroom as a learning strategy in reading comprehension: A case study of higher education. In *2023 IEEE Global Engineering Education Conference (EDUCON)* (pp. 1–5). IEEE.

- Benadaky, H., Mahdavi, L., & Zare, Z. (2024). The effect of multimedia flipped instruction on students' motivation and academic achievement in biology. *Journal of Educational Technology*, 18(4), 953-964.
- Beragmann, J., & Sams, A. (2014). *Flipped learning*. ISTE.
- Bergmann, J., & Sams, A. (2016). *Flipped learning* (M. Attaran & F. Farahmand Khaneghah, Trans.). Morat. (Original work published 2012)
- Bishop, J., & Verleger, M. A. (2013, June). The flipped classroom: A survey of the research. In *2013 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition* (pp. 23-1200).
- Chen, L. L. (2016). Impacts of flipped classroom in high school health education. *Journal of Educational Technology Systems*, 44(4), 411-420.
- Dehkordi, S. E. K. R., & Jazayeri Farsani, S. (2019). The effect of reverse teaching method on creativity and motivation of eighth grade students in work and technology. *Islamic Research and Studies*, 5(5), 14-28. [In Persian]
- Desa, N. A. M., & Abd Halim, N. D. (2022). Flipped classroom in secondary school education: A review of its advantages and challenges. *Innovative Teaching and Learning Journal*, 6(2), 1-8.
- Estes, M. D., Ingram, R., & Liu, J. C. (2014). A review of flipped classroom research, practice, and technologies. *International HETL Review*, 4(7), 1-8.
- Ghertali, A., Rezaei Zadeh, M., & Alamolhoda, J. (2020). Identifying barriers to implementing the flipped classroom in Iranian higher education. *Journal of Research-Based Teaching*, (22), 212-230.
- Hatamzadeh Sarkeshti, N. (2015). Investigating the relationship between classroom culture, learning styles, and creativity of sixth-grade male students in Delfan city. In *Proceedings of the 2nd National and 1st International Conference on New Research in Humanities*.
- Hew, K. F., & Lo, C. K. (2018). Flipped classroom improves student learning in health professions education: A meta-analysis. *BMC Medical Education*, 18, 1-12.
- Hojjati, M., Ahmadi Taheri, Z., Zarei, M., & Nasri Nasrabadi, M. (2023). Flipped learning teaching method and its effects on students' responsibility. *Journal of Psychological Studies and Educational Sciences*, (67), 1-12.
- Hojjati Siamakani, M., & Rashtizadeh, E. (2024). Investigating the benefits and challenges of the flipped teaching method in chemistry education and proposing a daily lesson plan. *Journal of Research in Chemistry Education*, 6(3), 96-115.
- Howitt, C., & Pegrum, M. (2015). Implementing a flipped classroom approach in postgraduate education. *Australasian Journal of Educational Technology*, 31(4).
- Ismailian, F., & Zare, Z. (2022). Developing an instructional design model based on flipped learning principles. *Research in Curriculum Planning*, 47(74), 99-113.
- Javadi, N., Panah Ali, A., & Alivandi Vafa, M. (2020). Comparing the effectiveness of the flipped classroom method and lecture method on students' academic engagement. *Journal of Research-Based Teaching*, 8(2), 161-176.
- Jean-Michel, I. M. (2014, June). Flipping the biomedical engineering classroom. In *2014 ASEE Annual Conference & Exposition* (pp. 24-617).
- Joshaghan Nejhada, F., & Bagheri, M. (2018). The effect of flipped classroom on students' achievement motivation and learning in computer courses. *Research in Curriculum Planning*, 15(58), 95-107. [In Persian]
- Karabulut-Ilgu, A., Jaramillo Cherez, N., & Jahren, C. T. (2018). A systematic review of research on the flipped learning method in engineering education. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, 49(3), 398-411.
- Kasnazani, H. (2023). Investigating the effect of the flipped teaching method on students' learning achievement. *Quarterly Journal of Psychological Studies and Educational Sciences*, (54), 11-24.
- Kaviani, H., Liaqetmadar, M. J., Zamani, B. A., & Abedini, Y. (2017). The learning process in the flipped classroom. *Bimonthly Journal of Higher Education Curriculum Studies*, 8(5), 179-214.

- Khanifeh, K., Shah-Hosseini, S., & Bagheri, M. (2021). Comparing video-based flipped instruction and multimedia instruction. *Educational Sciences Journal of Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz*, 6(2), 79–96.
- Larcara, M. (2015). Benefits of the flipped classroom model. In *Curriculum design and classroom management* (pp. 93–105). IGI Global.
- Lo, C. K., Lie, C. W., & Hew, K. F. (2018). Applying first principles of instruction in the flipped classroom. *Computers & Education*, 118, 150–165.
- Martínez-Jiménez, R., & Ruiz-Jiménez, M. C. (2020). Improving students' satisfaction and learning performance using flipped classroom. *The International Journal of Management Education*, 18(3), 100422.
- Mobasser Maleki, S., & Kian, M. (2018). How the flipped teaching method affects learning. *Journal of Research-Based Teaching*, 6(2), 1–14. [In Persian]
- Morton, D. A., & Colbert-Getz, J. M. (2017). Measuring the impact of the flipped anatomy classroom. *Anatomical Sciences Education*, 10(2), 170–175.
- Muzyka, J. L., & Luker, C. S. (2016). *The flipped classroom: Background and challenges*. American Chemical Society.
- Najafi, H. (2019). Comparing blended and traditional teaching methods. *Research in Medical Sciences Education*, 11(2), 54–63.
- O'Flaherty, J., & Phillips, C. (2015). The use of flipped classrooms in higher education. *The Internet and Higher Education*, 25, 85–95.
- Omrani, P., Afkari, F., & Ghaderi, M. (2021). The effectiveness of flipped learning on students' academic resilience. *Research in Curriculum Planning*, 41(68), 178–189.
- Parvaneh, H., Zoghi, M., & Asadi, N. (2020). The effect of the flipped classroom on learner autonomy. *Linguistic Research in Foreign Languages*, 10(2), 330–347.
- Pickering, J. D., & Roberts, D. J. (2018). Flipped classroom or an active lecture? *Clinical Anatomy*, 31(1), 118–121.
- Rasouli, Z., & Nemati, E. (2023). Flipped teaching: Dos and don'ts. In *Proceedings of the 16th National Conference on Management and Humanities Research in Iran* (pp. 1019–1027).
- Roach, T. (2014). Student perceptions toward flipped learning. *International Review of Economics Education*, 17, 74–84.
- Sabzipour, A., Hasanvand, B., & Safarieh, N. (2024). Comparing flipped and traditional teaching methods. *Research in Teaching Methods*, 2(4), 33–45.
- Schultz, D., Duffield, S., Rasmussen, S. C., & Wageman, J. (2014). Effects of the flipped classroom model. *Journal of Chemical Education*, 91(9), 1334–1339.
- Sergis, S., Sampson, D. G., & Pelliccione, L. (2018). Investigating the impact of flipped classroom. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 78, 368–378.
- Shi-Chun, D. U., Ze-Tian, F. U., & Yi, W. A. N. G. (2014). The flipped classroom: Advantages and challenges. In *International Conference on Economic Management and Trade Cooperation* (pp. 17–20).
- Supriasih, E., Fathurohman, A., & Sriyanti, I. (2023). Flipped classroom implementation during COVID-19. *Jurnal Pendidikan Fisika*, 11(1), 103–114.
- Thai, N. T. T., De Wever, B., & Valcke, M. (2017). The impact of a flipped classroom design. *Computers & Education*, 107, 113–126.
- Wang, Y. H. (2016). Mobile-assisted learning and flipped classrooms. *Journal of Computer Assisted Learning*, 32(5), 391–415.
- Wanner, T., & Palmer, E. (2015). Personalising learning in a flipped university course. *Computers & Education*, 88, 354–369.
- Wissman, K. T. (2023). Student perceptions on the use of Flip in higher education. *International Journal of Technology in Education and Science*, 7(1), 1–17.