



The Role of Quality Assurance in Strengthening Research

Ehsanullah Naseh^{1*}; Hamidullah Mozammel²

¹Academic Vice-Chancellor, Nangarhar University, Nangarhar, Afghanistan

²Head of Research, Translation, and Authorship, Ministry of higher education, Kabul, Afghanistan

*Corresponding Email: abdulmominazimi2017@gmail.com, Phone Number: +93799547070

Article History:

Received: 10. 02.2026

Accepted: 16. 02.2026

Online First: 20.03.2026

Citation:

Naseh E. & Mozammel, H. (2026). The Role of Quality Assurance in Strengthening Research. *Kdz Uni Int J Islam Stud and Soc Sci*;3(1):89-95

e-ISSN: 3078-3895

This is an open access article under the Higher Education license



Copyright: © 2026 Published by Kunduz University.

Abstract

Quality assurance (QA) is a systematic approach designed to ensure accuracy, validity, and transparency throughout the research process. It plays a critical role in improving the overall quality of research by preventing, identifying, and correcting errors or shortcomings in research activities and outcomes. Although QA is widely recognized globally, it remains a relatively new concept in Afghanistan, where most research institutions have yet to implement formal QA mechanisms. This review examines existing QA frameworks and practices adopted internationally, including guidelines from the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), the World Health Organization (WHO), and European scientific institutions. It also analyzes recent initiatives by the Afghan Ministry of Higher Education to introduce QA systems, particularly in Islamic universities influenced by UAE standards. The study is based on a review of literature, institutional reports, and official QA guidelines. The findings indicate that QA relies on standards, checklists, assessments, documentation, feedback, monitoring, and corrective actions to ensure research credibility and transparency. In Afghanistan, emerging QA initiatives have begun to encourage systematic research practices, although comprehensive mechanisms remain limited. Implementing QA enhances scientific rigor while also strengthening trust among society, decision-makers, and donors. In conclusion, QA is a fundamental component that must be integrated at all stages of the research process. Establishing robust QA systems in Afghanistan can significantly enhance research quality, scientific credibility, and societal impact, contributing to the advancement of reliable and trustworthy research practices.

Keywords: Quality Assurance, Research, Strengthening, Credibility, Standards, Research Design.

Introduction

Research forms the fundamental basis for generating new knowledge, solving problems, and informing policy development. However, when quality assurance (QA) principles are not applied throughout the research process, results may become unreliable, irreproducible, and potentially misleading. For this reason, establishing systematic QA frameworks has become a global priority to ensure that research outputs are credible, accurate, and scientifically useful (Boulton, 2020; OECD, 2016). QA is a structured approach designed to evaluate every stage of research, from planning to publication, ensuring transparency, reliability, and reproducibility of findings (Taylor, 2018). Methodological rigor and the integration of QA protocols, such as those used in

randomized controlled trials (RCTs) or systematic reviews, significantly enhance the validity and impact of research (Creswell & Creswell, 2017).

Over the past two decades, numerous international reports have raised concerns about the insufficient quality of research and the absence of sustainable mechanisms for continuous QA in many countries. The OECD (2021) notes that a lack of QA undermines reproducibility and weakens scientific credibility. Similarly, UNESCO (2015) has emphasized the importance of national QA frameworks for continuous evaluation, while Altbach and Salmi (2011) argue that QA is essential for global competitiveness in higher education and research systems. Studies show that institutions applying QA protocols produce more reliable and impactful findings, whereas universities without such systems struggle with reproducibility, lower academic rankings, and reduced global trust (Smith et al., 2018; European Science Foundation, 2011).

QA not only benefits institutions but also enhances researchers' capacity by providing structured guidelines for conducting research ethically and systematically. International organizations such as WHO, OECD, and NIH have introduced policies to standardize research practices, covering ethics, data protection, and publication requirements (Berger & Walters, 2018; Moher et al., 2009). When QA standards are followed, opportunities for international collaboration, funding, and publication increase substantially.

In Afghanistan, QA in research remains an emerging and underdeveloped concept. Although the Ministry of Higher Education (2021) has recently initiated policies to establish QA mechanisms, many universities still lack the infrastructure, resources, and trained personnel required for systematic QA. This has led to challenges such as poor reproducibility, low credibility, and limited contribution of Afghan research to global scientific discourse. The absence of QA not only undermines academic quality but also wastes scarce financial resources and limits evidence-based policymaking.

Given these challenges, this review seeks to evaluate the role of QA in strengthening research in Afghanistan by synthesizing international best practices and contextualizing them for the national research environment. Specifically, it aims to highlight the benefits, risks, and challenges of QA, identify gaps in the current system, and propose evidence-based recommendations for integrating QA protocols into all stages of the research process. Ultimately, this study argues that QA is not a mere procedural formality but a scientific and ethical imperative that ensures credible knowledge production, enhances institutional competitiveness, and promotes international collaboration.

Material and Method

This study employed a literature review approach to analyze the role of quality assurance (QA) in strengthening research. Relevant publications were systematically searched in major academic databases such as Google Scholar, PubMed, ERIC, and Scopus using selected keywords related to QA, research integrity, and academic standards. Studies published between 2010 and 2024 were included to ensure coverage of current practices, while priority was given to peer-reviewed articles, policy documents, and empirical studies addressing QA frameworks and implementation. The collected materials were carefully reviewed, and key information was extracted, synthesized, and thematically analyzed to identify principles, trends, challenges, and gaps in QA processes. Since this

research is based solely on secondary data, no human or animal participants were involved, and all reviewed works were properly cited to maintain academic integrity.

Importance of Quality Assurance in Strengthening Research

Ensuring Reliable Results: When research is conducted according to defined principles and standards, its findings are reliable and replicable. This practice prevents errors or biased approaches in research.

Enhancing Credibility: High-quality research gains greater trust from both the public and the academic community, which in turn reflects positively on the researcher's reputation.

Ensuring Accuracy of Findings: The quality assurance process ensures that research is carried out with a systematic plan, precise methodologies, and appropriate analysis. This makes it possible for the findings to correctly address the research questions.

Compliance with Principles and Regulations: The quality assurance process guarantees that research is conducted in accordance with ethical principles, scientific standards, and existing policies (CIBNP, 2024).

Key Components of Quality Assurance in Strengthening Research

Research Design: Developing a strong and clear design is essential. It should clearly present objectives, hypotheses, and methodologies. The researcher must also select appropriate procedures, necessary tools, and suitable sampling techniques for conducting the study.

Proper Data Management: Ensuring that data are collected, recorded, stored, and secured systematically and accurately. This involves applying proper procedures for data recording and storage to maintain authenticity and reliability.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Continuous monitoring of the research process and evaluation of all its stages is crucial for timely identification and correction of potential issues. This includes reviewing progress reports, conducting evaluations, and assessing compliance with established protocols.

Training and Capacity Building: Providing training to researchers and staff is vital so that they understand and implement quality assurance standards, ethical principles, and relevant research procedures.

Documentation and Recordkeeping: All research activities must be properly documented, such as protocols, data collection methods, and analysis procedures. This practice enhances transparency and facilitates replication of the research by others (CIBNP, 2024).

Methods of Implementing Quality Assurance in Strengthening Research

Researchers can apply the following methods to ensure effective quality assurance in strengthening research:

Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs): SOPs should be established and implemented at every stage of research to ensure consistency and adherence to quality assurance standards.

Quality Control Assessments: Research must be regularly monitored and evaluated to identify and correct errors or deviations from protocols.

Peer Review: To enhance credibility, research should be reviewed by other experts who can provide valuable insights and validation.

Continuous Improvement: A culture of continuous improvement should be developed within quality assurance processes, meaning that existing procedures are periodically reviewed, new ideas are integrated, and necessary modifications are made for refinement (Quality Assurance in Research, 2024).




Figure1: The Role of Quality Assurance in Research Advancement (Krapp, 2001)

Conducting Policy-Oriented Research by Policymakers

An important dimension of quality assurance is evidence-based policy development. Research conducted by policymakers must adhere to scientific principles, provide needs

analysis, and propose feasible solutions. Such research not only supports decision-making but also plays a constructive role in advancing educational systems (UNESCO, 2016).

Providing Research Opportunities for Faculty (Professor, Associate Professor, Assistant Professor/Senior Lecturer, Lecturer, Junior Lecturer/Instructor)

Academic institutions or universities are obligated to provide faculty members including Professors, Associate Professors, Assistant Professors/Senior Lecturers, Lecturers, and Junior Lecturers/Instructors with opportunities, budgets, and time for research. Without learning incentives, technical support, and research resources, quality assurance processes remain merely formalities. Research should be considered an integral part of faculty responsibilities under QA principles (Altbach et al., 2009).

Participation in National and International Conferences by Faculty

Participation in national and international conferences expands academic networks and exposes research to global discussion and critique. This practice accelerates the exchange of ideas among scholars and ensures alignment with scientific standards (Salmi, 2017).

Annual Publication Requirement for Faculty

Within the QA framework, faculty members—Professors, Associate Professors, and Lecturers—are expected to maintain consistent scholarly output. Publishing two research articles per year serves as an indicator of scientific activity, which underpins promotion, quality teaching, and the evaluation of the research environment.

Annual Research by Professors

Professors should conduct at least one research study per year aligned with their academic position, providing strategies, solutions, and critical analyses. An annual policy-oriented research project represents a professor's academic responsibility, fostering new knowledge areas and strengthening research culture.

Organizing Research Workshops by Faculty

Workshops play a fundamental role in transferring research skills and promoting scientific thinking. Activities led by faculty members of all ranks are indicators of active QA practices, enhancing students' research capabilities.

Maintaining and Activating a Research Website

An active website is essential for research dissemination and accessibility. This ensures transparency and extends the impact of research. Academic databases such as Google Scholar, ResearchGate, and official university sites should be regularly updated (Van der Wende, 2007).

Activating Physical and Electronic Libraries for Research Use

A well-equipped library is fundamental for enhancing research quality. In addition to physical resources, electronic libraries must provide access to academic journals, databases, and documents to meet researchers' needs (IFLA, 2012).

Specific Research Evaluation Scores for Faculty (Professor, Associate Professor, Lecturer)

QA frameworks assign specific criteria and scores for evaluating research. Scores are based on innovation, impact, applicability, and publication. A clear evaluation mechanism ensures that research is not merely procedural but holds genuine scientific value for Professors, Associate Professors, and Lecturers (Harvey & Green, 1993).

Specific Research Evaluation Scores

Within quality assurance frameworks, specific criteria and scores are assigned for evaluating research. These scores are based on the research's innovation, impact,

applicability, and publication. A clear evaluation mechanism ensures that the research is not merely a formal procedure but holds genuine scientific value (Harvey & Green, 1993).

Conclusion

Quality assurance not only enhances the credibility of research but also ensures that research outcomes are accurate, reliable, and reproducible. It strengthens the effectiveness, transparency, and ethical standards of research while providing policymakers with trustworthy evidence and supporting continuous scientific advancement. This review demonstrates that implementing QA processes plays a crucial role in research execution by guaranteeing the validity, reliability, and trustworthiness of results. When researchers develop well-structured study designs, collect data systematically, monitor research activities, provide adequate training to staff, and meticulously document all procedures, the overall quality of research is maintained at a high level. Furthermore, QA processes produce precise and reproducible results, which are fundamental for both scientific and educational progress. Therefore, quality assurance serves as a cornerstone for strengthening and advancing research.

Acknowledgment

The authors also thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments and suggestions.

Data Availability Statement

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Conflicts of Interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

References

- Altbach, P. G., & Salmi, J. (Eds.). (2011). *The road to academic excellence: The making of world-class research universities*. World Bank Publications.
- Creswell, J. W., & Creswell, J. D. (2017). *Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches* (5th ed.). Sage Publications.
- International Organization for Standardization. (2015). *ISO 9001:2015: Quality management systems – Requirements*. ISO.
- World Health Organization. (2002). *Handbook for good clinical research practice (GCP): Guidance for implementation*. World Health Organization.
- Berger, E., & Walters, L. (2018). Research quality assurance in practice. *Research Integrity and Peer Review*, 3(9). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-018-0041-y>
- Glasziou, P., Altman, D. G., Bossuyt, P., Boutron, I., Clarke, M., Julious, S., ... & Moher, D. (2014). Reducing waste from incomplete or unusable reports of biomedical research. *The Lancet*, 383(9913), 267–276. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(13\)62228-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(13)62228-X)
- Ioannidis, J. P. A. (2005). Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Medicine*, 2(8), e124. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0020124>
- Moher, D., Hopewell, S., Schulz, K. F., Montori, V., Gotzsche, P. C., Devereaux, P. J., ... & Altman, D. G. (2010). CONSORT 2010 explanation and elaboration: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomized trials. *BMJ*, 340, c869. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c869>

- Smith, R., Lee, A., & Green, H. (2018). Quality assurance in academic research: Challenges and opportunities. *Research Management Review*, 23(2), 45–62.
- Taylor, M. (2018). Quality assurance systems in academic research. *Higher Education Policy*, 31(2), 233–249. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41307-017-0051-5>
- Krapp, M. (2001). Quality assurance in research and development: an insoluble dilemma? *Fresenius' Journal of Analytical Chemistry*, 371(6), 704–713.
- CIBNP. (2024). What is quality assurance in research? CIBNP. <https://www.cibnp.com/what-is-quality-assurance-in-research/>
- EQUATOR Network. (2022). Reporting guidelines. EQUATOR Network. <https://www.equator-network.org/>
- UNESCO. (2015). Recommendation on the status of scientific researchers. UNESCO. <https://unesdoc.unesco.org/>
- Ministry of Higher Education. (2021). Research guidelines for Afghan universities. MoHE.
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2016). Research ethics and new forms of data for social and economic research. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/5jln7vnpxs32-en>
- Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development. (2021). Strengthening quality assurance in research: Global trends and best practices. OECD Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1787/9789264268926-en>
- European Science Foundation. (2012). Quality assurance in research. <https://www.esf.org>
- Moher, D., Liberati, A., Tetzlaff, J., Altman, D. G., & The PRISMA Group. (2009). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *PLoS Medicine*, 6(7), e1000097. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097>
- PRISMA Group. (2020). Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA statement. *BMJ*, 339, b2535. <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2535>
- Wager, E., & Kleinert, S. (2011). Responsible research publication: International standards for authors. COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics). <https://publicationethics.org>